## )ui •

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

REC'D **0 3 MAR 2006**WIPO PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P18511-TPF			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/EP 03/14799				International filing date 23.12.2003	(day/mont	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/) 23.12.2003	/ear)
G06	rnation 6F1/0		ent Classification (IPC) or bo	th national classification	and IPC			·
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (PUBL) et al.								
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	1 11		Basis of the opinion Priority					
i	 III						,	
	IV 🔲 Lack of unity of invention							
	V	⊠	citations and explanation	ider Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w ns supporting such st	ith regard atement	to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial	applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cited	-				
	VIII		Certain defects in the in	: :				
	VIII	Ц	Certain observations on	the international app	lication			
Date of submission of the demand				Date of c	completion of thi	s report		
12.0	12.07.2005					2006		
Name	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorize	ed Officer		chat Patenten
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Mäenpa Telephon	ää, J ne No. +49 89 23	399-7287	The state of the s		

## ٠ ١ والآر

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14799

<ol> <li>Basis of the repo</li> </ol>	'n	1
---------------------------------------	----	---

With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
 Description, Pages
 1-25 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

Claims, Numbers

1-18

1/7-7/7 as originally filed

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

as originally filed

the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

contained in the international application in written form.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description,	pages:
•	Nos.:
the drawings,	sheets:

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14799

This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5,6,11,12,17,18

No: Claims 1-4,7-10,13-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: JAJODIA S ET AL: "Flexible support for multiple access control policies" ACM TRANSACTIONS ON DATABASE SYSTEMS ACM USA, vol. 26, no. 2, June 2001 (2001-06), pages 214-260, XP002301060 ISSN: 0362-5915
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 7 and 13 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
  - The document D1 discloses according to the features of claim 1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- method for taking a policy decision by a policy decision device (chapter 4.1, figure 7, "Authorization framework")
- wherein the policy decision device has access to objects being relatable to each other by relations of one or more <u>relation</u> types (page 222, Definitions 3.1, 3.2 Authorisation Subject/Object <u>Hierarchy</u>; page 224, example 3.1; figure 5, authorisation subject hierarchy)
- (Example 3.1 in D1 given for a subject hierarchy is valid as such for an object hierarchy as well, see page 223, last sentence, page 226, lines 25-37, "... the system security officer may specify different propagation policies for each of the hierarchies ..." . Therefore the further citations corresponding to the object hierarchy of claim 1 are given referring to the subject hierarchy example 3.1 of D1. This correspondence is directly and unambiguously derivable from D1.)
- receiving a request for the policy decision, the request specifying a first object of the objects and <u>request</u> information (figure 7: triple "(o,s,+a)" corresponding to object, subject, action; page 229, lines 3-26, "...every <u>request</u> is seen as coming from either a user or a role ...")

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- obtaining a <u>policy</u> matching to the request information and being applicable to a <u>second</u> <u>object</u> of the objects (example 3.1, <u>authorisation</u> for the <u>subject G2</u> "(o.G2,-a)"; figure 7, "authorisation table"; chapter 4.1, "authorisation table")
- obtaining at least one <u>propagation rule associated to the policy</u> (pages 224-226, "We are now ready to describe various different <u>authorization propagation policies</u> ... Path overrides ... Authorisations of a node are propagated to its subnodes if not overridden ... ")
- the at least one propagation rule specifying at least one <u>relation</u> type of the one or more relation types (page 226, lines 5-17, "Path overrides ... Authorisations of a node are propagated to its <u>subnodes</u> ...")
- verifying if a relation path exits, the relation path linking the <u>first object</u> and the second object and consisting of one or more of the relations, verifying if the one or more relations of the relation path are in accordance with at least one of the at least one specified relation type (page 226, lines 5-17, "Path overrides ... Authorisations of a node are propagated to its subnodes ..."; figure 6.d, <u>subject G5</u>)
- if said relation path exists and if said one or more relations of the relation path are in accordance, applying the policy to the <u>first object</u> for taking the policy decision (chapter 3.4, "Example decision policies", figure 6.d, derived authorisation for the <u>subject G5</u> "o,G5,-a"), figure 7, "granted/denied")

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 7 and 13 which therefore are also considered not new.

Dependent claims 2-6, 8-12 and 14-18 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step in view of the documents and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.